

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,725	02/26/2004	Michael W. Morrow	MP1439	6814
22852 7590 01/10/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			. KIM, HONG CHONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2185	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/10/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eathermoor of the manys be available used the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(b), in the event, mover, may a reply be limbly filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failur to reply within the sof or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the supplication to become ABANDONEO (30 U.S. C § 1313). Any reply received by the Office laser than three months after the maintenance three months after the maintenance of the communication (s) filled on 20 December 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 8) The specification is objected to the sex and sex a		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Hong C. Kim 2185 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay (b) MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay (b) MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay (b) MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay (b) MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay its state. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will early stay its state. - If NO period for reply is specified or this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified or this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified or this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified to see an explain the specified application is period of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified to see an explain the specified application is often the milling and the see an explain the specified of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified to see an explain the specified to see an explain the specified and the speci		10/788,725	MORROW, MICHAEL W.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term say be available under the provisions of 2 FCR 1,136(), in no event, however, may a roby be timely liked in the control of the provision of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exemitions of inten rays to swillable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a rays be timely filled. - Exemition of intending the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a rays be timely filled. - If No provide for rays is specified above, the maxemine statistury paried will apply and will expire its (8) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, replication to become ARANDONED (6S U.S. 2) 133). - Arry party provides by the Office are the threa months after the mailing date of the communication, even if tendly filled, may reduce any variety party from the provision of the communication		Hong C. Kim	2185				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provided and of 15 feet 1.018(a). In a event, however, may a reply be limitely filled after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of the communication. It is to the provided of the communication of the communica	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application are view of the drawing(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4 Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 4 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) Nonce of:	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2006					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims	·	· · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		esecution as to the merits is				
Al) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aktachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 Ohlow Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Notice of Informal Patent Application	· ·						
4)	·	, pano <u>, aa</u> ayio, roos o. <u> </u>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims	•					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-8 and 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonic field copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 Notice of Poraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 10 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 11 Notice of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8 and 10-29</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) *Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	•	su in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	occurs attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
-, C							

Detailed Action

1. Claims 1-2 and 4-8, 10-29 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the RCE filed on 12/20/06.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Applicants are reminded of the duty to disclose information under 37 CFR 1.56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10-21, and 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hironaka et al. (Hironaka) US Patent Pub. No. 2004/0088489.

As to claim 1, Hironaka discloses a storage device, comprising: a cache array having cache lines filled with contiguous instructions in an instruction cache (ICache) portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30 and Fig. 14) that is adjacent to a trace cache (TCache) portion where cache lines are filled with elements of a trace (Fig. 11 Ref. 30); and neither the ICache portion nor the TCache portion is looked-up when the TCache portion is

Art Unit: 2185

supplying instructions (blocks 19, 20, and 147, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion) and an instruction indexing logic, wherein the indexing logic is not used for either the ICache portion or TCache portion when the Tcache portion is supplying instructions (block 20, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion without using any indexing logic however if there is a trace cache miss, instruction is fetched from a instruction cache utilizing a fetch address).

As to claim 2, Hironaka further discloses wherein the ICache portion is looked-up using the indexing logic when the TCache portion is not supplying instructions (block 20).

As to claim 4, Hironaka further discloses a line in the TCache portion is indexed when a branch instruction is executed (Fig. 11 and Fig. 13).

As to claim 5, Hironaka further discloses the TCache portion contains non-contiguous instructions from an instruction stream (Fig. 11, branch predictor read on this limitation since a branch address is not sequential, see Fig. 14).

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 21, Hironaka further discloses wherein the ICache and TCache portions may migrate within the cache as time progresses (block 14, storing a sting of instructions is stored in the trace cache reads on this limitation).

As to claim 10, Hironaka discloses a method, comprising: intermingling cache lines in one array of a cache where a first cache line in a trace cache (TCache) portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30) is physically adjacent a second cache line in an instruction cache (ICache) portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30 and Fig. 14) and selecting the TCache or the ICache portion based on an address of the next instruction (Fig. 13, hit judgment circuit) and using an instruction indexing logic to select some instructions wherein the indexing logic is not used for either the ICache portion or TCache portion when the Tcache portion is supplying instructions (blocks 19, 20, and 147, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion without using any indexing logic however if there is a trace cache miss, instruction is fetched from a instruction cache utilizing a fetch address).

As to claim 11, Hironaka further discloses dynamically changing a number of lines in the ICache portion and the TCache portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30, instruction/trace integrated cache reads on this limitation).

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 12, Hironaka further discloses dynamically altering a size of the ICache portion and the TCache portion in the one array as time progresses (Fig. 11).

As to claim 13, Hironaka further discloses supplying a program-order stream of instructions from each cache line in the TCache portion (Figs. 11 and 14, instruction cache).

As to claim 14, Hironaka further discloses supplying instructions in program order from cache lines in the lCache portion until a branch is encountered (Figs. 11 and Fig. 14).

As to claim 15, Hironaka further discloses associating a next address with the first cache line in the TCache portion to allow a next line to be ready before a current line is completely fetched (block 7. parallel processing).

As to claim 26, Hironaka further discloses wherein the ICache portion is looked-up using the indexing logic when the TCache portion is not supplying instructions (block 20).

As to claim 27, Hironaka further discloses wherein the TCache line size is a multiple of the (Cache line size (block 20, fetch from Icache when there is a Tcache miss reads on this limitation).

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 28, Hironaka further discloses wherein the ICache and TCache portions may migrate within the cache as time progresses (block 14, storing a sting of instructions is stored in the trace cache reads on this limitation).

As to claim 16, Hironaka discloses a method comprising: filling an array with instruction cache (ICache) cache lines mixed with trace cache (TCache) cache lines (Fig. 11 Ref. 30) where an allocated proportion of ICache cache lines to TCache cache lines is dynamically changing with time (Fig. 14) wherein neither the ICache portion nor the TCache portion is looked-up when the TCache portion is supplying instructions (blocks 19, 20, and 147, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion) and selecting the TCache or the ICache portion based on an address of the next instruction (Fig. 13, hit judgment circuit) and using an instruction indexing logic to select some instructions wherein the indexing logic is not used for either the ICache portion or TCache portion when the Tcache portion is supplying instructions (blocks 19, 20, and 147, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion without using any indexing logic however if there is a trace cache miss, instruction is fetched from a instruction cache utilizing a fetch address).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 17, Hironaka further discloses using an address of a next instruction when an end of a cache line is reached to determine use of the ICache cache lines or the TCache cache lines (Fig. 13).

As to claim 18, Hironaka further discloses searching both the lCache cache lines and the TCache cache lines when an address is a result of a branch target (Fig. 13 judgment circuit and Fig. 14).

As to claim 19, Hironaka further discloses using the TCache cache lines when an address is found in the TCache cache lines (Fig. 13 judgment circuit).

As to claim 20, Hironaka further discloses using the lCache cache lines when the address is found in the lCache cache lines and not in the TCache cache lines (Fig. 13 judgment circuit).

As to claim 29, Hironaka further discloses wherein the !Cache portion is looked-up using the indexing logic when the TCache portion is not supplying instructions (block 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 6-8 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hironaka et al. (Hironaka) US Patent Pub. No. 2004/0088489 in view of Takashima et al. (Takashima) U.S. Patent 6,463,509.

As to claim 6, Hironaka discloses a system, comprising: a processor (Fig. 1 Ref. 1); a cache having in one array both an instruction cache (ICache) portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30 and Fig. 14) and a trace cache (TCache) portion (Fig. 11 Ref. 30), wherein a line TCache portion is looked-up when the TCache portion is supplying instructions (blocks 19, 20, and 147, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion) and an instruction indexing logic, wherein the indexing logic is not used for either the ICache portion or TCache portion when the Tcache portion is supplying instructions (block 20, executing series of instruction strings, "pseudo basic block" in a trace cache is read on this limitation since if there a trace cache hit, traced instructions in the trace cache are continuously accessed in a string fashion without using any indexing logic however if there is a trace cache miss, instruction is fetched from a instruction cache utilizing fetch address).

However, Hironaka does not specifically disclose at least one antenna to receive modulated signals and supply a signal to the processor.

Takashima discloses at least one antenna to receive modulated signals and supply a signal to the processor (Fig. 25 and col. 7 line 30 thru col. 8 line 6-4) for the purpose of utilizing in the latest technology thereby increase throughput and more marketable.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate at least one antenna to receive modulated signals and supply a signal to the processor as shown in Takashima into the invention of Hironaka for the advantages stated above

As to claim 7, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Hironaka further discloses the TCache portion is further indexed when the processor takes a branch, a jump, a call or a return (Fig. 11 Ref. 31).

As to claim 8, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Hironaka further discloses including an indexing logic where the ICache portion is looked-up when the TCache portion is not supplying instructions (Fig. 13, hit judgment circuit).

As to claim 22, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Takashima further discloses wherein the at least one antenna comprises a Radio Frequency (RF) transceiver (Fig. 25 Refs. 93 and 94).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,725 Page 10

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 23, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Takashima further discloses a control circuit to control the storage and retrieval of data words stored in the cache (Fig. 13, hit judgment circuit).

As to claim 24, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Hironaka further discloses wherein the TCache line size is a multiple of the ICache line size (block 20, fetch from Icache when there is a Tcache miss reads on this limitation).

As to claim 25, Hironaka and Takashima disclose the invention as claimed in the above. Hironaka further discloses wherein the !Cache and TCache portions may migrate within the cache as time progresses (block 14, storing a sting of instructions is stored in the trace cache reads on this limitation).

Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO-892.
- 2. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 USC 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,725 Page 11

Art Unit: 2185

3. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. ' 1.111(c).

- 4. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong C Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sanjiv Shah can be reached on (571) 272-4098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,725 Page 12

Art Unit: 2185

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to TC-2100:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

H Kim Primary Patent Examiner January 6, 2007 Ju